Constitution that juries would not be free to render verdicts as they saw fit based on the evidence?

DELEGATE WILLONER: Under our law, the jury has the power to disregard. There is nobody in this body will deny that no man could be found guilty on the judgment of a judge or should I say no man can have a directed verdict against him, direct a jury to find him guilty.

The judge does not have that power. Apparently under this case law -- and I will admit I have not done a complete and thorough research job -- but I think the burden is on those who would make a change to do this research job.

It would appear to me this would raise a problem, whereby if the jury did come in with a verd ict which was inconsistant with the facts, the judge could, or the court could reverse the decision, because if it were rob bery and there weren't any issues, and they came in with assault with intent to rob, where here was virtually uncontradicted evidence in that, could the verdict stand?

I don't know the answer to that. It appeared that it couldn't stand from the few cases I looked at.